IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI BILAL NAZKI, THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G.CHANDRAIAH

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO : 12829 of 2005

Between:

Panapana Latchayya S/o. Peddagangayya,
Station, Ichapuram Mandal, Srikakulam District,.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Station House Officer, Ichapuram Rural Police Station,

Srikakulam District.
2 The Superintendent of Police, Srikakulam District, at Srikakulam.
3 The Collector & District Magistrate, Srikakulam District at

Srikakulam.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the telegram filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an Order, direction or a writ, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus to call for the records and remarks from the Respondents herein relating to trespassing of the Sub-Inspector of Police, along with two Constables of Ichapuram Rural Police Station, Srikakulam District, into the Petitioner's house on 7-6-2005 at 8.00 P.M. and on 8-6-2005, and abusing and threatening to kill the Petitioner herein without any crime committed by him or cause and at the instigation of one Suggu Gopalu of Sanyasiputtuga Village, Ichapuram Mandal, Srikakulam District and hence this Hon'ble Court is requested to pass appropriate orders directing the concerned authorities to protect the Petitioner herein from the concerned Police Personnel and Suggu Gopalu of Sanyasiputtuga Village, Ichapuram Mandal, Srikakulam District, in the interest of Justice and to pass such other or further orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner:TELEGRAM
Counsel for the Respondents: Advocate General

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

O R D E R (Per the Hon'ble Sri Bilal Nazki, ACJ)

In response to the allegations made in the affidavit, it has been stated in the counter-affidavit that at no point of time, the Sub-Inspector of Police along with Constables went to the house of the petitioner. In fact, family members of the petitioner had filed a complaint with the police, which was returned to them on the ground that it was a dispute of civil nature.

In these circumstances, no further orders are necessary to be passed in the writ petition, which is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

(Bilal Nazki, ACJ)

24th June, 2005

_____

(G.Chandraiah,J)

vrn

To

1 The Station House Officer, Ichapuram Rural Police Station,

Srikakulam District.
2 The Superintendent of Police, Srikakulam District, at Srikakulam.
3 The Collector & District Magistrate, Srikakulam District at

Srikakulam.

4 Two C.Cs to the Advocate General, High Court Buildings, A.P.,

Hyderabad (OUT)

5 Two C.D copies

6 The Officer concerned, PIL Cell, High Court Buildings, A.P.,

Hyderabad.

7 The Secretary, A.P. State Legal Advisory Board, High Court Buildings,A.P., Hyderabad

8 The Secretary to Government, Home Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.